



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,266	07/14/2003	Jianming Dong	AUS919990812US2	7059
35525	7590	11/24/2008		
IBM CORP (YA)				EXAMINER
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC				TAN, ALVIN H
P.O. BOX 802333			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75380			2173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,266	DONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALVIN H. TAN	2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALVIN H. TAN. (3) _____.

(2) Edward L. Kaplonski. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mayaud (U.S. Patent No. 7,072,840 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Newly proposed claim 33 was discussed. The new claim reciting dragging of an item does not appear to be mentioned in Mayaud. Examiner also suggested focusing on the intent of dependent claim 2 to further distinguish the claims over the prior art. Any amendments to the scope would require further search and/or consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tadesse Hailu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173